

RECEIVED 1645 #

DEC 8 2000

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**FREE TRANSMITTAL
for FY 2001**

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$700.00)

Complete if Known TECH CENTER 16002300

Application Number	08/970,045
Filing Date	November 13, 1997
First Named Inventor	Eugen Koren
Examiner Name	P. Duffy
Group Art Unit	1645
Attorney Docket No.	OMRF 143 CON

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)

- 1.
- ☒
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to:

Deposit
Account
Number

01-2507

Deposit
Account
Name

Arnall Golden & Gregory, LLC

- ☒
- Charge Any Additional Fee Required
-
- Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

☐ Applicant claims small entity status.
See 37 CFR 1.27

- 2.
- ☐
- Payment Enclosed:

☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money
Order ☐ Other

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING FEE

Large Entity Small Entity

Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	----------

101	710	201	355	Utility filing fee	
106	320	206	160	Design filing fee	
107	490	207	245	Plant filing fee	
108	710	208	355	Reissue filing fee	
114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
22	-22	= 0	x 0 = 0
9	-9	= 0	x 0 = 0
Multiple Dependent			

Large Entity Small Entity

Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------

103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20
102	80	202	40	Independent claims in excess of 3
104	270	204	135	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
109	80	209	40	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
110	18	210	9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)

-0-

FEE CALCULATION (continued)

3. ADDITIONAL FEES

Large Entity Small Entity

Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	----------

105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
116	390	216	195	Extension for reply within second month	390.00
117	890	217	445	Extension for reply within third month	
118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal	310.00
120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing	
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141	1,240	241	620	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142	1,240	242	620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143	440	243	220	Design issue fee	
144	600	244	300	Plant issue fee	
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
126	240	126	240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
146	710	246	355	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
149	710	249	355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
179	710	279	355	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify)

* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$ 700.00)

SUBMITTED BY

Complete (if applicable)

Name (Print/Type)

Kevin W. King

Registration No.
(Attorney/Agent)

42,737

Telephone

404-873-8596

Signature


Kevin W. King

Date

November 27, 2000

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



OMRF143 CON
 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231
 App 1 & PTO
 Right

APPLICATION NUMBER 08/970,045	FILING DATE 11/13/97	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT KUREN	ATTORNEY DOCKET 28-11
----------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------

DEC 01 2000

HM32/0728

PATREA L. PABST
 ARNALL GOLDEN & GREGORY
 2800 ONE ATLANTIC CENTER
 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET
 ATLANTA GA 30309

EXAMINER DAVID J. HAY

TECH CENTER 1600/2009

ART UNIT 1045	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

8/28/99

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Richard Schwartz (3) Anthony Caputo
 (2) Patrea Pabst (4) _____

Date of Interview 6/10/99Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: all pendingIdentification of prior art discussed: not applicable

AUG 11 1999

PATENT FILED
NAIL

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The non-responsive letter has been vacated (Paper No. 7) since applicants have not received an action or merit in the office action mailed 9/28/99. Applicants have not received a action or merits since claims 30-32 were not examined in the office action mailed 9/28/99 (Paper No. 3). A new office action will be issued and the office action mailed 9/28/99 will be vacated.
 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-98)

DOCKETED FOR _____
 BY J. STINES-HATCHER
 DATE 8-11-99

JUL 30 1999

PATENT DEPT.

RECEIVED